

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,077	10/669,077 09/23/2003		Richard C. Baughman	10478 2687	
32534	7590	03/10/2005		EXAMINER	
NEXPRESS PATENT DI	-		BEATTY, R	BEATTY, ROBERT B	
2600 MANI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ROCHESTE	R, NY 1	4624	2852		

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

^
<i>A</i> ·1
w
V.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/669,077	BAUCHMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert Beatty	2852				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Se	eptember 2003.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 11 and 12 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/669,077 Page 2

Art Unit: 2852

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1-6,8,10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kusaka et al. '729.

Kusaka et al. teach a fixing device comprising a fixing belt 6 in contact with a pressure roller 9 in order to form a nip therebetween. The belt can be in a roller configuration (see Fig.11). Paper sheets having toner images pass into the nip and are heated and fixed. The pressure roller has a cleaning roller having a pad surface of silicone sponge covered with felt which is adhered to a metal core. A solenoid 14 biases the cleaning roller into and out of engagement with the pressure roller. As seen in Fig. 7 and col.8, lines 2-7, the solenoid is activated to keep the cleaning roller out of contact with the pressure roller when the sheets are in the nip and the solenoid will be activated when the sheets are not in the nip (i.e. standby). Both the pressure roller and fixing member are rotated both during fixing and between a fixing operation and the fixing member is heated via a heater 73. Both the heating and pressure members will be heated via contact with each other.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/669,077

Art Unit: 2852

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kusaka et al. in view of Kamijo (JP 07-219379).

Kusaka et al. taught supra discloses most of what is claimed except replacing the cleaning pad and the pad being bonded. Kamijo teach a fixing device having a cleaning member 5 which can be replaced. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace a soft pad that comes into frictional contact because the pad surface will wear and better cleaning would occur with a new cleaning pad than an old worn pad.

- 3. Claims 11 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kusaka et al. '272, Kaji et al., Oota et al., Hashinashi (JP), Kawano (JP), Kataoka (JP), and JP# '402 all teach various cleaning devices for pressure rollers.

Application/Control Number: 10/669,077 Page 4

Art Unit: 2852

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Beatty whose telephone number is (571)

272-2130. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Arthur Grimley, can be reached on (571) 272-2136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone

Robert Beatty

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2852

March 6, 2005

number is 703-308-1782.